

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,450	09/25/2001	Nicholas Alexander Rutter	31574-00006 2410	
7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Steven E Shapiro			NGUYEN, PHUNG	
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp 11377 West Olympic Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90064			2632	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A - 11 - 41		[A 11 4/2)				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/937,45	50	RUTTER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Phung T N		2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MA - Extensior after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN is of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn of for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 od for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nunication. io) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and wire will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> .								
·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	_							
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9-11,14-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cla	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 14-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>4,5,10,11 and 19</u> is/are obj	ected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachments								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of	ate							
3) Informati	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldstein [U.S. Pat. 5,280,273]

Regarding claim 1: Goldstein discloses a toxic gas detection system having convenient battery and sensor replacement which comprises all the claimed subject matter as follows:

- a. a housing 12 (figure 1, col. 2, lines 64-67);
- b. a cartridge in the form of a base 10 detachably mountable within the housing assembly (figure 1, col. 2, lines 64-67). It is seen that the cartridge 10 may be operated independently of a housing assembly;
- c. wherein the cartridge contains a detection for detecting at least one of heat, radiation and pollutants (col. 2, lines 64-68, and col. 3, lines 1-2);
- d. wherein the detection includes detection circuitry 14, a power source 42, and an alarm such that the cartridge is operable independently of the housing assembly to detect the at least one of heat, radiation and pollutants (figure 1, col. 2, lines 2-49).

Regarding claim 2: Goldstein discloses an upper housing member and a base, the upper housing member and the base being adapted to be fitted together as seen in figure 1, col. 2, lines 3-15.

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 14: Goldstein discloses the housing assembly comprises a ceiling mount as seen in figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldstein in view of Yamano [U.S. Pat. 5,729,207]

Regarding claim 3: Goldstein discloses the base 10 and cover 12 (col. 2, lines 64-67) but does not show the support means and carrier means slidably mounted on the support means for sliding movement into and out of the housing assembly. However, Yamano discloses a corrosive gas detecting sensor comprising the housing 11 to which the cartridge 10 is attached (col. 3, lines 15-27). It is seen that the housing 11 of Yamano including support means and carrier means being for seating the cartridge thereon for insertion into the housing assembly as seen in figure 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Yamano in the system of Goldstein because they both teach a detector system for toxic gases. Yamano's teaching of carrier means slidably mounted on the support for sliding movement into and out of the housing assembly would increase the flexibility of Goldstein's system by providing a facilitative maintenance.

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 15: Yamano discloses the cartridge is slidably mountable within the housing assembly (col. 3, lines 15-19).

Regarding claim 16: Refer to claim 15 above.

Regarding claim 17: Yamano discloses the carrier comprising at least one of a drawer and a tray as seen in figure 1.

Regarding claim 18: Yamano discloses including a first connector for connecting to an external electrical power supply (col. 4, lines 55-57).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 7 and 9 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Cole [U.S. Pat. 4,608,556] discloses a smoke detection apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung T Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-6252. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm Mon thru. Friday, with alternate Friday off.

Art Unit: 2632

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on 703-308-6730. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3988 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Examiner: Phung Nguyen

Date: June 13, 2004